

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,023	10/08/2004	Lars 1. E. Oddsson	BU-082XX	8760	
207 WEINGARTE	7590 07/16/200 IN, SCHURGIN, GAGI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE			SMITH, FANGEMONIQUE A		
BOSTON, MA	A 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,023	ODDSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
FANGEMONIQUE SMITH	3736	

	FANGEMONIQUE SMITH	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\text{\text{\$\Z\$}}\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a extension fee
Detersions of time in gray be doublest of without 57 of the 1704(s), in the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	·		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to They raise new issues that would require further core becomes the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the content of the co	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better _ appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
(Mary 1 Sandardson)			
/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants arguments with respect to the amended claims are not persuasive. Claim 1 was amended to include the claim limitation of allowing a user cognitive recognition and response. Examiner sumbits the Allum apparatus incorporates a stimulator signaling means which provides a visual, audio, tactile and electro-vestibular feedback to the user. This feature allows a user cognitive recognition and response. Examiner submits the prior art references as applied in the final rejection meet the limitations of Applicant's invention as amended.